1	Bryan Schwartz, SB# 209903
2	Adetunji Olude, SB# 264873 BRYAN SCHWARTZ LAW
3	1330 Broadway, Suite 1630
4	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 444-9300
5	Facsimile: (510) 444-9301 E-mail: bryan@bryanschwartzlaw.com adetunji@bryanschwartzlaw.com
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and all others
7	similarly situated
8	Leon Greenberg, SB# 226253
9	Dana Sniegocki, SB# 261212 LAW OFFICE OF LEON GREENBERG
10	2965 South Jones Boulevard #E-4 Las Vegas, NV 89146
11	Telephone: (702) 383-6085 Facsimile: (702) 385-1827
12	E-mail: leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com dana@overtimelaw.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and all others similarly situated
14	
15	Julie A. Vogelzang (SBN 174411)
16	Edward M. Cramp (SBN 212490) Courtney L. Baird (SBN 234410)
17	DUANE MORRIS LLP 750 B Street, Suite 2900
18	San Diego, CA 92101-4681 Telephone: 619 744 2200
19	Facsimile: 619 744 2200 E-mail: jvogelzang@duanemorris.com
20	ecramp@duanemorris.com clbaird@duanemorris.com
21	Attorneys for Defendants Von Curtis, Inc., P.M.
22	Advanced Education Inc., Paul Mitchell Advanced
23	Education LLC, John Paul Mitchell Systems, PMNV Las Vegas, LLC, PMCA Bakersfield, LLC, PMHBW LLC, Winn Claybaugh, John Paul DeJoria, Paul Mantea, D'Ann
24	Evans, and Ann-Marie Safadi
25	
26	
27	

2

3

4

5

6 7

> 8 9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JESSICA MORALES, BERENISA CORTES PALOMINOS, DYLAN THOMAS, JANETTE BARRERA, and FRANCES HANDCOCK, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

V.

VON CURTIS, INC., P.M. ADVANCED EDUCATION INC., PAUL MITCHELL ADVANCED EDUCATION LLC, WINN CLAYBAUGH, JOHN PAUL DEJORIA, JOHN PAUL MITCHELL SYSTEMS, PAUL MANTEA, D'ANN EVANS, ANN-MARIE SAFADI, PMNV LAS VEGAS, LLC, PMCA BAKERSFIELD, LLC, PMHBW LLC, and "John Does," name fictitious, name and number unknown, doing business as "Paul Mitchell The School,"

Defendants.

Case No.: C 13-04996 SBA

JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE REMAINING FILING DEADLINES AND HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT PENDING POTENTIAL CASE CONSOLIDATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Complaint filed: Oct. 25, 2013 Amended Complaint filed: Apr. 29, 2014

Judge: Hon. Saundra Brown

Armstrong
Trial date: Not set

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(b), Plaintiffs Jessica Morales, Berenisa Cortes Palominos, Dylan Thomas and Frances Handcock ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Von Curtis, Inc., P.M. Advanced Education Inc., Paul Mitchell Advanced Education LLC, John Paul Mitchell Systems, PMNV Las Vegas, LLC, PMCA Bakersfield, LLC, PMHBW LLC, Winn Claybaugh, John Paul DeJoria, Paul Mantea, D'Ann Evans, and Ann-Marie Safadi (collectively "Defendants") ¹ hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on June 13, 2014, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First

Amended Complaint, which is scheduled to be heard by the Court on July 29, 2014, at 1:00 p.m.;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is due on June 27,

2014, and Defendants' reply brief in support of their Motion to Dismiss is due on July 7, 2014;

WHEREAS, a class action entitled *Gerard et al*, v. *John Paul Mitchell Systems et al*. was

¹ By an through this Stipulation, the parties do not waive any rights or concede any issues.

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27 28 filed on April 22, 2014, in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, case number BC543275 (the "Gerard" case), alleging causes of action against one of the Defendants in this action, John Paul Mitchell Systems ("JPMS"), as well as "John Paul Mitchell the School," for failure to pay minimum wage and overtime wages under California law and the federal Fair Labor Standards Act, failure to provide meal breaks and rest breaks under California law, failure to provide accurate wage statements under California law, failure to timely pay all earned wages due at time of separation under California law, unfair business practices under California law, unjust enrichment, and civil penalties pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act;

WHEREAS, JPMS removed the *Gerard* case to the U.S. District Court, Central District of California on June 26, 2014;

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2014, the parties' counsel met and conferred regarding similarities between the two cases and the potential consolidation of the two cases;

WHEREAS, the parties hereby stipulate to continue the opposition due date, the reply due date, and the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss pending resolution of the consolidation of the two lawsuits;

WHEREAS, the parties hereby stipulate and agree that nothing herein affects the timeliness of Defendants' response to this lawsuit.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other, through their respective counsel of record, that Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be continued to at least twenty-one (21) days after the Court issues an order granting, denying or otherwise resolving an anticipated request for consolidation of this case and the Gerard case; that Defendants' deadline to file a reply brief shall be continued to seven (7) days after Plaintiffs' file any opposition brief; and that the hearing on Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint be continued to at least fourteen (14) days after Defendants file their reply brief.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	Dated: June 26, 2014 DUANE MORRIS LLP
2	
3	By:/s/
4	Julie A. Vogelzang Edward M. Cramp
5	Courtney L. Baird Attorneys for Defendants VON CURTIS, INC.,
6	P.M. ADVANCED EDUCATION INC., PAUL MITCHELL ADVANCED EDUCATION LLC,
7	PMNV LAS VEGAS, LLC, PMCA BAKERSFIELD, LLC, PMHBW LLC, WINN
8	CLAYBAUGH, JOHN PAUL DEJORIA, PAUL MANTEA, JOHH PAUL MITCHELL SYSTEMS,
9	D'ANN EVANS, and ANN-MARIE SAFADI
10	
11	Dated: June 26, 2014 BRYAN SCHWARTZ LAW LAW OFFICE OF LEON GREENBERG
12	By:/s/Bryan Schwartz
13	Bryan Schwartz
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs JESSICA MORALES, BERENISA CORTES
15	PALOMINOS, DYLAN THOMAS, JANETTE BARRERA, and FRANCES HANDCOCK
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	<u>ATTESTATION</u> : Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the filer attests that concurrence in the
21	filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories thereto.
22	
23	<u>ORDER</u>
24	Pursuant to the stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	
26	Dated: 7/21/2014 By: Hon. Saundra Brown Armstong
27	United States District Judge
28	
	4